

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/929,465	08/14/2001	Jeff Kirsner	HALB:020	9062		
7	590 10/04/2002					
Karen B. Trip	p		EXAMI	NER		
Attorney at Lav P.O. Box 1301			TUCKER, PHILIP C			
Houston, TX 77251-1301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1712			
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

WA-	O
-----	---

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>.</b>	
Office Action Summary	929465	Kir	KSNER	
Office Action Guinnary	Examiner P. TUCKER		Group Art Unit	
	150 100	<b>水色</b> 化	1716	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet be	eneath the co	orrespondence addr	ess-
Period for Reply	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO I OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE $3$	MONTH(S	6) FROM THE MAILI	NG DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, e</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	y within the statutory min expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to	imum of thirty (ion the mailing of become ABA)	30) days will be consident date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	ed timely. on. 3).
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL.				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C</li> </ul>			to the merits is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims				
S Claim(s) 1 - 89		is/are p	pending in the applica	ition.
Claim(s) $1 - 89$ Of the above claim(s) $59 - 80$ , $86 - 89$ Claim(s) $1 - 3$ , $5 - 58$ , $81 - 85$		is/are v	withdrawn from consi	deration.
□ Clạim(s)		is/are a	allowed.	
SQ Claim(s) 1 - 3, 5-58, 81-85		is/are r	rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are o	objected to.	
□ Claim(s)				election
Application Papers		require		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		□ disapprove	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	⊢(d).		
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		_		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been reco	• •	0	•	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h in this national stage application from the International B		(a))		
*Certified copies not received:	-	• ••		_
Attachment(s)				•
	4.5	ntanziaw Sum	mary, PTO-413	
Notice of Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892	•		mal Patent Applicatio	n DTO 150
•				•
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther		
Office Acti	on Summary			

Application/Control Number: 09/929465

Art Unit: 1712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-58 and 81-85, drawn to drilling fluids comprising esters, classified in class 507, subclass 138.
  - II. Claims 59-80 and 86-89, drawn to drilling fluids comprising hydrocarbons, classified in class 507, subclass 103.
- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects upon the environment when used in drilling operations.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Karen Tripp on 9/16/02 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-58 and 81-85. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 59-80 and 86-89 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/929465 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the

best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled

in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

claimed invention. Applicants specification fails to teach that the mineral oil comprises less

than 1 weight percent aromatics.

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/929465 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

9. Claims 42 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 42, the phrase "similar to" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). It is not clear in what manner such structures are similar.

In claim 50 the term "blend of esters and glyceride triesters" is used. Since glyceride triesters are esters, the scope of the term "ester" in the claim is not clear. May glyceride triesters alone comprise the continuous phase?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes

Application/Control Number: 09/929465

Art Unit: 1712

of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

11. Claims 1, 2, 5-9, 12, 13, 14, 18-22, 25, 26, 81 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller (5869434).

Mueller teaches a drilling fluid which comprises an ester and linear alpha olefin as continuous phase, wherein the ester may be a product such as PETROFREE, or rapeseed oil. A linear alpha olefin may be produced by isomerization, thus applicants claims do not distinguish absent further definition of the olefin structure. Applicants method of making the ester does not distinguish, since in product by process claims, only the product is examined (In re Thorpe 227 USPQ 964).

12. Claims 50-55, 57, 58 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mueller (6165946).

Mueller teaches a drilling fluid which comprises esters of 2-ethylhexanol alcohols and rapeseed oil (see Example 13). Applicants method of making the ester does not distinguish, since in product by process claims, only the product is examined (In re Thorpe 227 USPQ 964).

13. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 12-22, 25-28, 30-33, 36-38, 43, 44, 48-54, 54, 57, 58, 81-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Patel (US 2001/0009890 A1).

Application/Control Number: 09/929465

Art Unit: 1712

Patel teaches a drilling fluid which comprises esters and an C16-18 isomerized olefin (see examples). Patel further teaches the combination of various esters and hydrocarbons such as mineral oils (see claims 1 and 9). Such mineral oils would comprise paraffins according to the present invention. Applicants method of making the ester does not distinguish, since in product by process claims, only the product is examined (In re Thorpe 227 USPQ 964).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 1, 10, 11, 14, 23, 24, 38-42, 45-47, 50, 54-56, 81, 82, 84, 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patel (2001/0009890 A1).

Patel teaches a drilling fluid which comprises esters and an C16-18 isomerized olefin (see examples). Patel further teaches the combination of various esters and hydrocarbons such as mineral oils (see claims 1 and 9). Such mineral oils would comprise paraffins according to the present invention. Patel differs from the present invention in that the use of 2-ethylhexanol is not disclosed, and the specific composition of the mineral is not disclosed. The use of 2-

Application/Control Number: 09/929465 Page 7

Art Unit: 1712

ethylhexanol would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art given the teaching of Patel that alcohols of C1-12 length may be used in the formation of the Esters (claim 1). Furthermore, the use of low aromatic mineral oils, comprising paraffins and olefins of low carbon chain length in order to protect the environment would be an obvious variation to one of ordinary skill in the art.

16. Claims 27-37 and 83 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (5569642) in view of Mueller (6165946).

Lin teaches the use of a mixture of linear and branched paraffins for use as the continuous phase of a drilling fluid. Lin teaches that the paraffin mixture may be used in combination with an ester in order to improve the performance of the fluid or lower costs (column 3, lines 39-43) Lin differs from the present invention is not disclosing an example of such esters. Mueller teaches the use of an ester oil in drilling fluids which comprises esters of 2-ethylhexanol (column 22). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use known drilling fluid ester formulations such as that of Mueller, in the drilling fluid of Lin, given the teaching of Lin that esters may be used therein in order to improve drilling performance, or lower cost.

17. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1712

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The after final fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2647 September 30, 2002

PHILIP C. TUCKER ART UNIT 1712